

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKÉT NO. 001-3036-U D KATSAMBERIS 09/747,250 12/21/00 **EXAMINER** IM71/0706 PIZIALI, A MYRON B. KAPUSTIJ **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER MASCO CORPORATION 21001 VAN BORN ROAD 1775 TAYLOR MI 48180 DATE MAILED: 07/06/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•	'	l Anniination I	12	Applicant(a)		
Office Action Summary		Application I				
		09/747,250		KATSAMBERIS ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Andrew T Piz	ali	1775		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 .				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
Attachment(s)						
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/747,250 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 8 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 8 is identical to claim 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling for the scope of the rejected claims since matter critical or essential to the practice of the invention is omitted from the claims. The specification and drawings reveal a specific layer order to be critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but is not included in the claims. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). It is suggested the applicant state the order of the layers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/747,250

Art Unit: 1775

5. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,132,889 to Welty in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,154,311 to Simmons, Jr.

Regarding claims 1-9, Welty discloses an article, such as a faucet (column 1, lines 9-26), with a multi-layer coating (column 1, lines 42-64) comprising a nickel layer with a refractory metal layer deposited on the nickel layer. A refractory metal compound layer, such as zirconium nitride or titanium nitride (column 4, lines 34-48), is deposited on the refractory metal layer. Deposited on the refractory metal compound layer is a layer comprised of a refractory metal oxide or the reaction products of a refractory metal, oxygen and nitrogen. Welty discloses that the nickel layer provides improved corrosion protection and functions as a leveling layer which tends to cover or fill in imperfections on the substrate (column 3, lines 23-48).

Regarding claims 1-9, Welty does not mention a polymer layer, but Simmons Jr. discloses the use of a polymer layer, in place of a nickel layer, in articles such as faucets, to provide improved corrosion resistance and to level substrates by forming a smooth hard surface (column 2, lines 9-45 and column 6, lines 15-44). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the nickel layer of Welty, with the polymer layer of Simmons Jr., because the polymer layer provides a viable alternative to electroplating in addition to providing corrosion resistance while leveling a substrate by forming a smooth hard surface.

Regarding claim 9, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select epoxy urethane as the polymeric base coat material, because epoxy urethane is a suitable polymer capable of forming a smooth hard surface over the covered article.

Art Unit: 1775

Conclusion

Page 4

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Piziali whose telephone number is (703) 306-0145. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (703) 308-3822. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-5408 for regular communications and (703) 305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5665.

atn.

June 29, 2001

DEBURAN JONES